



**BUREAU
VERITAS**

**Bureau Veritas Certification
North America, Inc.
SFI Audit Report**

390 Benmar Drive, Suite 100
Houston, TX 77060
Phone (281) 986-1300: Toll Free (800) 937-9311

Company Name	Campbell Global, LLC East & Southeast Regions
Contact Person	Ron Honea
Address	3850 Old Hwy 45N, Meridian, MS 39301
Phone / Fax	Phone: 601-483-9068 Email: rhonea@campbellgroup.com
PQC Code	E01E

Contract Number:	US.1637062	Certification Audit:		Re-Certification Audit:	X	Surveillance: (Indicate visit # or Pre-Assessment)	
------------------	------------	----------------------	--	-------------------------	---	-------------------------------------------------------	--

Audit Summary

Introduction

This report summarizes the results of the renewal audit conducted on Campbell Global, LLC SFI program in the East and Southeast Regions. Rick Larkin conducted the field audit for the East Region on June 3 – 5th, and the Southeast Region on July 7 – 9, 2014 for the Monticello Area and July 15 – 17, 2014 for the Meridian Area. The central office audit was conducted in Meridian, MS on July 14, 2014.

Audit Scope, Objectives and Process

The scope of the audit is “Management of Forest Lands”. The audit was conducted against the SFI 2010-2014 Standard. All Objectives were covered during the audit. There was no substitution or modification of indicators. Specifically, two objectives of the SFI audit were to verify that the Program Participant’s SFI Program is in conformance with the SFI Objectives, Performance Measures, and Indicators, and any additional indicators that the Program Participant chooses, and verify whether the Program Participant has effectively implemented its SFI Standard program requirements on the ground. Standard Bureau Veritas Certification protocols and forms were applied throughout the audit as provided by the most recent version of the Bureau Veritas Certification SFI Auditor Handbook available on the auditor access website.

Audit Plan

The audit began on June 3, 2014 at the Wilmington, NC office. An opening meeting was held via conference call and the objectives for the surveillance audit were outlined and the audit process for collecting evidence and making audit findings was explained. Findings of non-conformance and opportunities for improvement were also explained. The audit plan was discussed and agreed to, and the time and date of the closing meeting was also confirmed. There were no substitutions or modifications of SFI indicators.

A copy of the audit plan is maintained on file by Bureau Veritas Certification.

Company Information

Campbell Global, LLC is a Timberland Management Organization which manages forestland for various investment clients. The lands under this certificate are located in Alabama (45,894 acres), Arkansas (130,732 acres), Florida (194,161 acres), Georgia (66,633 acres), Mississippi (151,983

acres), North Carolina (129,712 acres), Oklahoma (114,475 acres), South Carolina (10,725 acres) and Tennessee (21,066 acres).

Multi-Site Requirements

Campbell Global, LLC maintains a multi-site certification consisting of a central office and 6 areas. Headquarters of the management system is at the Meridian, Mississippi office. The company qualifies for multi-site sampling since the management system is controlled and directed by an SFI manager at the central office. The certification manager provides oversight for all SFI procedures. A rigorous internal auditing and monitoring program is in place. Field locations are responsible for developing corrective actions and reporting to the central office. Internal auditing and monitoring results, including corrective actions taken by field locations, are reviewed by upper management during the management review process.

Sites covered during the audit were selected based on a randomized schedule developed by Bureau Veritas Certification at the time of renewal.

Sites	Sites Audited During this Event
Meridian, Mississippi (Central Office)	X
Antlers, Oklahoma	
Cross City, Florida	
Monticello, Arkansas	X
Waycross, Georgia	X
Wilmington, North Carolina	X

Audit Results

The document review was conducted to determine the Campbell Global system documentation continues to meet the requirements of the SFI 2010-2014 Standard. The central office audit also examined the company's procedures for meeting multi-site requirements. The field audit consisted of a review of 24 harvest sites, 10 mechanical site preparation tracts, 21 chemical site prep / release tracts, 11 regeneration tracts, two fertilization tracts and one road improvement project.

Objective 1 Forest Management Planning: Campbell Global has not made any significant changes to the company's forest inventory and management system. Each tree farm has an individual management plan which details the volumes to be harvested annually. These plans are updated every 3 to 5 years. A stand-level inventory system is in place. Inventory is grown using a proprietary growth-and-yield model. A spatially-based harvest scheduler is in place, which is updated continuously based on removals, growth, and updated inventory information. Evidence was presented indicating recent harvest levels have been well below the long-term management plan. A GIS and land classification system are in place, with a number of layers needed for planning, including soils (where available), T&E species, hydrology, and special sites. A review of non-timber issues consists of consideration of special sites, FECVs and T&E species. Campbell Global has a program to assess forest cover types and habitats at the landscape level, which serves as its land classification system.

Objective 2 Forest Productivity: Campbell Global reforests all harvested plantations within 2 years of harvest. Many stands are reforested the winter following harvest. All artificially and naturally regenerated stands are reviewed for acceptable stocking.

Herbicide prescriptions are conducted to control competing vegetation and are based on specific conditions on each site. Herbicide application tracts reviewed during the audit demonstrated

minimization of chemical use. Rates were well below label maximums.

Soils are mapped on a portion of the property and are entered in the GIS. Campbell Global has obtained soils data from the NRCS and the majority of the data has been entered in the GIS. Soil productivity was well protected, with virtually no adverse impacts to soils observed on any of the sites reviewed during the audit. Campbell Global uses logging slash and water bars to control sediment movement.

Objective 3 Protection and Maintenance of Water Resources: Compliance with state BMPs was good on harvest and site preparation on most tree farms; however, the approaches to one temporary crossing had not been adequately stabilized and soil movement was observed during the audit. Streamside management zones meet or exceed state BMPs. Stream crossings are minimized, but when necessary, were cleaned out and well stabilized, with the exception of the crossing previously mentioned.

Objective 4 Conservation of Biological Diversity including Forests with Exceptional Conservation Value: Campbell Global has a good wildlife management program in place. It has identified T&E species and FECVs that could occur on its land, using information gleaned from natural heritage programs. Management plans are developed for the most significant occurrences. Employees are very well aware of FECVs that could occur in their area of operations.

Stand- and landscape-level wildlife management efforts are in place. Harvest sites were designed to promote habitat diversity, with irregular sale boundaries, ample SMZs, and both dispersed and clumped retention.

Campbell Global employees are well aware of the potential non-native invasive species that could occur in their area. Occurrences are treated with herbicides.

Objective 5 Management of Visual Quality and Recreational Benefits: Campbell Global has a program to provide for visual quality considerations. Most harvests reviewed during the audit were located in rural areas outside of view of the general public.

Average clearcut size was 75 acres for the East and Southeast Regions. All harvest sites reviewed during the audit met green-up requirements.

Objective 6 Protection of Special Sites: Campbell Global does a good job of managing special sites on its ownership. Management plans are in place for the most significant. These sites are identified on the GIS, which is used during the planning process to determine if any of these sites are located within activity unit boundaries.

Objective 7 Efficient Use of Forest Resources: Utilization was acceptable on all harvest units observed during the audit.

Objective 14 Legal and Regulatory Compliance: Campbell Global has pre-activity planning, monitoring, and training programs in place to ensure regulatory compliance. No adverse regulatory actions are in evidence.

Objective 15 Forestry Research, Science, and Technology: Campbell Global financially supports a number of research projects through various research cooperatives. Company staff are aware of the company intranet site which contains information on the potential impacts of climate change on forest health and productivity, and wildlife and wildlife habitat.

Objective 16 Training and Education: Training requirements for employees and contractors are

specified in the company training program. Any contractor responsible for conducting any activities that may disturb soil are required to complete BMP training. Records are in place to verify training has occurred as required, Loggers working on Campbell Group managed land are required to complete SIC approved logger training. All loggers operating on sales reviewed during the audit were properly trained.

Objective 17 Community Involvement in the Practice of Sustainable Forestry: Campbell Global provided evidence it financially supports and participates in the SICs in all the states in which it operates. Campbell Global provided evidence of participation in a number of education efforts.

Objective 19 Communications and Public Reporting: A review of the SFI, Inc. website provided evidence Campbell Global submitted previous audit reports for the East and Southeast Region as required for public review. The company has procedures in place to provide for all the pieces of information needed to complete the SFI annual progress report. An e-mail transmission from SFI, Inc. provided evidence the company submitted the 2013 annual progress report in a timely manner.

Objective 20 Management Review and Continual Improvement: Campbell Global has a management review process in place. Procedures are in place to provide for the information that is presented to management to evaluate the company's commitment to the SFI Standard. One of the procedures used to evaluate commitments is an internal audit and monitoring program. The results of internal audits and monitoring data are examined at the annual management review meeting.

Findings

Previous non-conformances:

During the 2013 audit of the Southeast Region, a minor non-conformance was written against indicator 3.2.3.

Non-conformances:

One major non-conformance was issued against indicator 3.2.3. The indicator deals with the "Implementation of plans to manage or protect rivers, streams, lakes, and other water bodies."

During the audit a temporary stream crossings was observed that had not been adequately stabilized and the approach to the crossing was eroding and sediment was entering an intermittent stream.

Because there was a minor non-conformance written to this indicator during the 2012 surveillance audit, this elevated the non-conformance to a major.

Campbell Global provided an acceptable corrective action plan and documentation that the problem with the crossing had been corrected. The correction action plan was accepted on August 7th.

Opportunities for Improvement:

No opportunities for improvement were identified.

Notable Practices:

No notable practices were identified.

Logo/label use:

Campbell Global does not use the SFI logo for promotional purposes.

SFI reporting:

The 2013 East and Southeast Region audit reports were posted on the SFI website as required for

public review

Conclusions

Results of the audit indicate Campbell Global continues to implement an effective SFI program, with the exception of the one major non-conformance, previously discussed. The lead auditor issued a recommendation for conditional certification at the closing meeting on July 17, 2014. Following acceptance of the corrective action plan, the lead auditor issued a recommendation of continued certification.

Surveillance Audit Schedule

The first surveillance audit should be conducted in late June on the Antlers, Oklahoma and Cross City, Florida tree farms.

SEE SF61 FOR AUDIT NOTES

Summary of Audit Findings:						
Audit Date(s):	From: June 3, 2014			To: July 17, 2014		
Number of SF02's Raised:	Major:		1	Minor:		0
Is a follow up visit required:	Yes	No	X	Date(s) of follow up visit:		
Follow-up visit remarks:						
<p>Problem causing the non-conformance was not severe, but was a repeat of an issue identified during the previous audit. Corrective actions can be reviewed without a revisit. Campbell Global provided an acceptable corrective action plan and documentation that the problem with the crossing had been corrected. The correction action plan was accepted on August 7th.</p>						
Team Leader Recommendation:						
Corrective Action Plan (s) Accepted	Yes	X	No	Date:	August 7, 2014	
Proceed to/Continue Certification	Yes	X	No	Date:	August 7, 2014	
All NCR's Cleared	Yes	X	No	Date:	August 7, 2014	
Standard audit conducted against:						
1)	SFIS 2010-2014	3)				
2)		4)				
Team Leader (1):		Team Members (2,3,4...)				
Rick Larkin, CWB		2)				
		3)				
		4)				
		5)				
Scope of Supply: (scope statement must be verified and appear in the space below)						
Management of Forest Lands						
Accreditation's	ANAB					
Number of Certificates	1					
Proposed Date for Next Audit Event						
Date	June or July 2014					
Audit Report Distribution						
Campbell Global : Ron Honea – rhonea@campbellgroup.com						
Bureau Veritas Certification: Melani Potts – melani.potts@us.bureauveritas.com						

Clause	Audit Report
Opening Meeting	<p>Participants: > Ron Honea, Matt Thuman, Mary Beth Hanson</p> <p>Discussions: > Introductions > Scope of the audit > Audit schedule/plan > Nonconformance types – Major / Minor > Review of previous non-conformances - 1 > Process approach to auditing and audit sampling > Confidentiality agreement > Termination of the audit > Appeals process > Closing meeting timing</p>
Closing Meeting	<p>Participants: Stephen Levesque, Ron Honea, Roger Taylor, Matt Thuman, Greg Bentley, Jason Adams, Mike McKibben, French Wynne, John King, Don Hrael, Mike Phelps, Shannon Uzzle, Glenn Roark, Dan Coppage, Jeff Graves, Brett Goulding, Roger Taylor, Kevin St. Laurent, Phillip Speer</p> <p>Discussions: > Introductions and appreciation for selecting Bureau Veritas Certification. > Review of audit process - process approach and sampling. > Review of OFIs and System Strengths > Non-conformances - 1 > Date for next audit. > Reporting protocol and timing</p>