



**Bureau Veritas Certification
North America, Inc.
SFI Audit Report**

390 Benmar Drive, Suite 100
Houston, TX 77060

Phone (281) 986-1300: Toll Free (800) 937-9311

Company Name	Louisiana Pacific Corporation
Contact Person	David Hudnall
Address	414 Union Street, Suite 2000 Nashville, TN 37219-1765
Phone / Fax	Tel-615-986-5796/ Fax-866-947-1411
PQC Code	E01E

Contract Number:	US.1215020	Certification Audit:		Re-Certification Audit:		Surveillance: (Indicate visit # or Pre-Assessment)	#2
------------------	------------	----------------------	--	-------------------------	--	---	----

Audit Summary

Introduction

Louisiana Pacific Corporation (LP) forest management and wood procurement operations underwent a surveillance audit of their SFI forest management certificate (BV-SFIS-US08000511) over a three month period (July - September) in 2014. The audit team consisted of Gregory Bassler, Lead Auditor and Gary Ellingson, Team Auditor.

Audit Scope, Objectives and Process

The scope of the surveillance audit was “Forest management activities on all company held and managed crown licenses in Canada, and the procurement of logs, pulpwood and other wood fiber in the United States and Canada”. The objective was verifying the effectiveness and conformance of Louisiana Pacific Corporation’s land management and fiber sourcing program to the Requirements of the SFI 2010-2014 Standard. The audit consisted of a thorough review of documents and a review of the implementation of policies, programs and plans in the field. Prior to the audit, the auditor selected field sites to be sampled at each location based on all management and procurement operations and activities conducted over the past 12 months. Performance Measures and Indicators in Objectives 1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9 and 10 pertinent to land management and fiber sourcing were the focus of the surveillance audit however; all Objectives were subject to review.

Audit Plan

A one day fiber sourcing audit was conducted at each of the three facilities identified in the SF17 surveillance audit plan. Fiber sourcing audits were conducted by Gregory Bassler at Clarke County, AL on July 15, Hanceville, AL on July 16 and Golden, BC on September 16, 2014. The Golden, BC site was substituted for Athens, GA site as it recently was sold. A two day land management audit was conducted at Golden, BC on September 9 - 10 and a one day land management audit at Malakwa, BC on September 10, 2014. The audit plan is included in this report and is maintained on file by Bureau Veritas Certification.

The opening meeting of the fiber sourcing surveillance audit was held at Clarke County, AL on July 15, 2014 with Tommy Thompson, Southern Region Resource Manager; Clay Morris, Procurement Manager and Doug Rodman, Sustainable Forestry Program Manager in attendance. The Lead Auditor introduced himself and reviewed the scope, objectives and audit plan. Non-conformances and the requirements for clearing them, opportunities for improvement, and notable practices were discussed at the opening meeting. The closing meeting was confirmed and was to be held at the Golden, BC office at 8:00 AM on Thursday, September 11, 2014. Daily debriefings were held at each site by the lead auditor to review audit findings.

The opening meeting of the land management surveillance audit was held at the Golden, BC office on Tuesday, September 9, 2014. Bernie Heuvelman, Area Forest Manager-Golden; Stuart Frazer, Procurement

Manager; Tim Arnett, Planning Forester-Golden; Darcy Zimmer, Road Supervisor-Golden; Scott King, Silviculture Forester-Golden; Fernando Cocciolo, Area Forest Manager-Malakwa, Donna Kopecky, District Biologist-Swan River; Kelly LaRoy, Operations Planner; Adam Campbell, Silviculture Forester-Dawson Creek; Brenda Dyke, Planning Forester -Malakwa; Doug Nelson, Silviculture Forester -Malakwa and Tahl Lunoch, Operations Superintendent-Golden were in attendance. Non-conformances and the requirements for clearing them, opportunities for improvement, and notable practices were discussed at the opening meeting. The closing meeting was confirmed and was to be held at the Golden, BC office 8:00 AM on Thursday, September 11, 2014. Daily debriefings were conducted by the lead auditor.

Objectives, Performance Measures and Indicators were all verified through a review of documents and field verification of sites to meet the intent of the SFI 2010-2014 Standard. The Louisiana Pacific Corporation Forest Management System Handbook, office documents, records, field files and interviews were used to verify conformance.

A representative sample of forest management and fiber sourcing operations and activities was selected for site visits. Field files for each site were reviewed and used to determine overall effectiveness of the management planning process, harvesting and silviculture activities and BMP monitoring and compliance.

Company Information

Louisiana Pacific Corporation is a leading manufacturer of high quality building products. LP Building Products manufactures LP Solid Start Engineered Wood Products such as Laminated Strand Lumber (LSL), Laminated Veneer Lumber (LVL), Oriented Strand Board (OSB), I-Joists, pre-finished siding and Rim Board. Products are used primarily in new construction, repair and remodeling, and manufactured housing. LP operates production facilities in the U.S., Canada and South America.

LP's BC Region Golden office timber supply area consists of two Crown Licenses composed of 554,812 hectares. The Malakwa office timber supply area consists of two Crown Licenses with a land base of 138,420 hectares. LP manages the lands to BC Ministry of Forestry Requirements and the Forest and Range Practices Act. The BC Region is highly regulated and sustainably managed. LP's fiber sourcing facilities purchase a limited amount of controlled stumpage and mostly gatewood.

Multi-Site Requirements

Louisiana Pacific Corporation maintains a multi-site certification consisting of headquarters and facilities throughout the U.S. and Canada which include forest management and fiber sourcing. Headquarters for all management systems is in Nashville, Tennessee. The company qualifies as a multi-site certification since the management system is controlled and directed by the central office. There is one set of procedures that applies to the entire system, and the SFI manager is the sole person responsible for maintaining the procedures. Individual sites are responsible for conforming to the company's sustainable forestry program and for providing corrective actions to the sustainable forestry manager when necessary.

The company has a good, reliable internal audit program and monitoring system carried out at headquarters to determine conformance at facilities and to implement corrective actions when appropriate. There is good coordination and communication between the central office and each site. Internal audits were conducted at all sites within the last year and a management review was conducted on May 1, 2014 and June 17, 2014. Louisiana Pacific Corporation meets all multi-site requirements.

Sites covered during the surveillance audit were selected based on a randomized schedule developed by Bureau Veritas Certification at the time of renewal. The two sites selected for the land management surveillance audit were Golden and Malakwa, BC. The three sites selected for fiber sourcing audits were Clarke County and Hanceville, AL and Golden, BC. The Nashville, TN headquarters office is audited annually.

Sites	STD	Sites Audited During this Event
Nashville, TN (Central Office)	HQ	X

Malakwa, BC	LM	X
East River, NS	FS	
Houlton, ME	FS	
Carthage, TX	FS	
Jasper, TX	FS	
Athens, GA	FS	Sold
Clark County, AL	FS	X
Hanceville, AL	FS	X
Newberry, MI	FS	
Maniwaki, QC	LM, FS	
Roaring River, NC	FS	
Roxboro, NC	FS	
Golden, BC	LM, FS	X
Dawson Creek, BC	LM, FS	
Swan River, MB	LM, FS	
Sagola, MI	FS	
Hayward, MI	FS	
Two Harbors, BC	FS	
Tomahawk, WI	FS	
Chambord, QC	FS	
Peace Valley, BC	FS	

Audit Results

During the land management audit, a total of 14 field sites covering a range of forest conditions and operations were visited at Golden and Malakwa, BC. Field site operations consisted of completed harvest, road construction/decommissioning, reforestation, riparian protection, visual management and logging debris utilization. Most of the sites had multiple forestry activities or practices (harvesting and silviculture) on recently completed operations. One active harvest site was inspected. The Contractor was compliant with all spill, safety, and fire requirements. All contractors involved were trained and current with their training requirements. All operations/activities were found to be in compliance with all regulations and BMP's. All forestry staff working on the sites was available for the audit.

During the field portion of the fiber sourcing audit, a total of 9 field sites were reviewed for BMP monitoring and compliance. One site was controlled stumpage and the remaining were gatewood sales. Contractors and/or suppliers involved with the sales were trained. All procurement staff was available for the audit and all sites were in compliance with BMP's.

Louisiana Pacific Corporation has a very thorough management planning process that addresses all regulatory requirements and Objectives of the SFI Standard. The site plan for each harvest block requires a thorough evaluation or assessment of soil disturbance, terrain stability, archeological impact, visual impact, biodiversity, hydrology and communication with local indigenous peoples. Pre-Harvest Survey Reports, site plans, assessments, pre-work harvesting reports, harvest inspection reports and silviculture and land management activity tracking sheets in the field files were used to verify conformance. Documentation demonstrated conformity with the Standard.

Objective 1: Forest Management Planning

Louisiana Pacific prepared a Forest Stewardship Plan (FSP) and Land Use Plans for their timber supply areas in Golden and Malakwa, BC. The AAC for each license is determined by the Chief Forester of the Province and they are responsible for periodically updating the inventory and recalculating the AAC. The AAC calculation is revised or updated every ten years. The BC Government hires a consultant to help them with growth and yield modeling. Louisiana Pacific provides information and assists with the inventory process. LIDAR is being utilized where available to update the inventory. Non-timber issues are addressed in the Forest Stewardship Plan (FSP).

The BC Ministry of Forests sends Cut Control Letters each year to document actual harvest levels and trends. Harvest levels have increased the past two years as market conditions improved. Harvest levels were down

during the recession. Harvest levels are within the tolerances of the five year cut period. Harvest can exceed AAC by 10% over five years maximum.

Louisiana Pacific has a GIS database which contains information on soils, streams, volumes, land classification, etc. Soil maps and soil sampling are used to verify soil type and compaction vulnerability. All forest practices are well documented and recorded. The Forest Management Handbook contains all forms used to document practices.

Objective 2: Forest Productivity

Soil maps and soil sampling are used to verify soil type and compaction vulnerability. Thresholds are in place on how much soil disturbance is acceptable. Water bars and slash placement are used to reduce erosion and to control access. No significant soil disturbance was observed within the portions of the field sites visited.

All road and fish stream crossings are designed by a licensed engineer. Terrain Stability Assessments and Archeology Assessments or Preliminary Field Reconnaissance Reports are prepared. Road Permits are issued by the BC Ministry after all analysis is reviewed and approved. Road construction and bridge installation sites observed were all done to specification with minimal disturbance and no sediment delivery to streams.

Objective 3: Protection of Water Resources

Louisiana Pacific is required to meet Forest Planning and Practices Regulations (FPPR) which contain specific regulations or BMP's. Procedures are driven by regulation. Contracts specify that the contractor must comply with all applicable federal, provincial and municipal laws and regulations which include the Forest and Range Practices Act, the Forestry Act and Forest Planning and Practice Regulations which contain BMP's. Requirements are also stated in the harvesting and silviculture agreements. Louisiana Pacific has procedures in place to address wet-weather events (During and Post work procedures). Periodic inspections are made and documented in inspection reports.

The BC Ministry of Forests conducts random compliance of individual Cutting Permits (CP). The Forest Stewardship plan contains riparian management guidelines. Protection of water bodies is mandated by regulation (FPRR). Riparian area protection boundaries (buffers) are designated and marked in the field. Locations are recorded and mapped using GPS on the harvest maps. Machine operators have tablets that are uploaded with the maps that show the machine location in relation to the boundary. Non-forested wetlands are identified in their GIS layers and are required to be protected by law. The FPPR has wetland classification identification guidelines and protection measures.

Objective 5: Management of Visual Quality and Recreational Benefits

BC Ministry establishes visual impact zones or polygons and sets the Visual Quality Objectives (VQO'S). A complete assessment is conducted on all blocks that have been identified as having visual impacts. The Higher Level Plan drives the objectives for visual management. Most of the visual concerns are located along Hwy 1 and 95 near Golden, BC. Size of units, trail locations and landing locations are varied to reduce impacts.

Louisiana Pacific is regulated by BC Ministry to clearcut block sizes less than 40 hectares which is below the maximum for SFI. The average clearcut size for Malakwa in 2013 was 52 acres. The average for Golden in 2013 was 34 acres. Green-up is regulated by the Forest Stewardship Plan and the Forest Planning and Practices Regulation. The GIS system tracks the age of all blocks. Tracking is done through the Phoenix Pro System. The FRPA and Louisiana Pacific procedures state green-up requirements. Trees must be 3 M tall. Green up requirements has been met throughout.

Louisiana Pacific addresses recreation in their site plans. The Forest Service identifies areas that have to be protected for recreation. LP contributes to recreation as a byproduct. LP works with various recreational groups such as the local snowmobile clubs and heli-ski companies.

Objective 7: Efficient Use of Forest Resources

LP is required to conduct a Harvesting Slash Hazard and Risk Assessment as well as a Waste Assessment to evaluate utilization, waste and fire hazard on each block. Biomass harvesting was conducted when markets were better to utilize waste and slash. LP gives the contractor the option to haul firewood. It also sells pulpwood. A number of sorts may be produced on each job. Utilization is an inspected item on each job at

regular intervals. Landing piles are typically burned, but on occasion opportunities exist to remove this material as woody biomass.

Objective 8: Landowner Outreach

Louisiana Pacific has an excellent communication packet that contains all the required information. LP mails the communication packet to all wood suppliers annually. The communication packet includes informational links for Threatened & Endangered and /or G1 & G2 Species, Revised 11/27/12 –LP Clarke County OSB and Hanceville. Species at Risk in Canada are also listed. The communications packet offers information on a number of topics which encourage the implementation of BMP's and thereby creating linkages to the SFI Program.

LP purchases gateway at all three facilities audited and purchases some controlled stumpage at the Golden, BC facility. The stumpage sale was purchased from the BCTS which is SFI certified and has addressed FECV.

Objective 9: Use of Qualified Resource and Qualified Logging Professionals

LP Canada has developed their own training program for logging and road building contractors. LP has an extensive training matrix to keep track of training topics and when it was presented. LP has developed an excellent SFI Training Workshop that all contractors working for LP are required to receive.

Objective 10: Adherence to Best Management Practices

LP provides all suppliers with their fiber sourcing policies. The LP Wood Supplier Policy requires the use of trained logging professionals. LP continues to outreach to private producers and their brokers regarding SFI forestry practices.

Timber Products Purchase Agreements require suppliers be in compliance with all BMP's. LP staff review site specific plans with operators prior to harvest. LP conducts regular site visits to verify compliance for purchased stumpage. A strong education program combined with delivery quotas helps ensure operations are limited during poor operating conditions.

Louisiana Pacific Corporation's fiber sourcing system does a very good job of documenting BMP compliance on their wood producers. The Wood Producer On-Site Visit Report is well developed and documents findings of BMP compliance, forest management activities and other sustainable forestry practices. Each facility has performed the required number of on-site inspections. Site visit reports from all fiber sourcing facilities are collected and summarized annually at headquarters to provide insight on any compliance issues or areas of concern.

The LP US/NS Handbook does not have a general wet weather policy however; operations across the US and Canada are well-planned and monitored. Through recognition of specific sale characteristics, location and conditions and good scheduling, harvest operations and contractors are able to work a longer season and maintain mill inventories. All field operations were compliant with BMP's and all applicable laws and regulations.

Objective 14: Legal Compliance

LP has access to all relevant laws and regulations. The handbook contains a complete list of regulations that apply to their operations with website listings. Relevant laws and regulations are stated in all LP contracts and purchase agreements. A logging contract cannot be issued without an established Cutting Permit. There have been no violations issued by the BC Ministry against LP during the audit period. LP has self-reported any potential violations and documented an incident with a report of corrective action. Any issues with operations that arise are documented in Report of Corrective Action. LP utilizes site visits and follows BMP Procedures to ensure compliance. These visits and checklists note compliance with laws and regulations. Health and safety requirements are posted in various locations as prescribed by law.

Objective 15: Forestry Research:

LP is a member of NCASI which conducts research on forest health, water quality, wildlife habitat, climate change, etc. NCASI recently assembled information on Climate Change and prepared a presentation that was made available to LP personnel on Climate Change and its effects on Forest, Wildlife and Biodiversity. A Q & A fact sheet was then prepared and distributed to LP Staff. LP contributed to an Auburn study on the effects of wet decking logs (moisture content and geometry). LP has been involved in various FIA Projects

and provided funding and sites for study. LP has been involved with a vole and marten study with UBC recently. Some of the stumpage monies paid to the BC Government are used for research projects. Staff at all locations actively participated in SFI implementation committees which discuss BMP practices, growth modeling, regeneration, etc.

Objective 16: Training and Education

The commitment statement is updated annually and posted in the office, noted on the website and made available to the public upon request. LP requires that each contractor be professionally trained. LP Canada has developed their own program for their logging and road building contractors. LP has an extensive training matrix to keep track of training topics and when it was given. LP maintains a list and tracks training all of suppliers and contractors Alabama and Mississippi have websites that contain all trained logger information that is updated monthly. The Professional Logging Manager Program (PLM) requires contractors to complete 6 hours of continuing education annually. The Program contains a good mix of training on BMP's, safety, trucking, wildlife, etc.

Staff is active in SFI implementation committees, from program development to delivery on any number of typical issues. LP is active in SFI implementation committees for Alabama and chairs the Inconsistent Practices subcommittee and the Logger Education subcommittee. LP provides support and is an active participant in their SFI Implementation Committees. Several of the LP resource personnel and staff are involved on committees or have positions in the SIC. LP also contributes time and materials to various activities and projects

Objective 17: Community Involvement

Staffs are continually involved in the distribution of educational materials and related training with landowners. LP in Alabama contributes and participates in the Forestry Awareness Week Now program which educates sixth grade students annually. LP is involved with the Alabama Forestry Commission, Alabama Forestry Council and Alabama Forestry Association. LP has developed a great communication packet which contains information on FECV's, invasive weeds, special sites and biodiversity for landowners. LP supports the Alabama State SIC. The Alabama SIC supported development of the Threatened and Endangered Species of Alabama, 2nd edition guidebook. Staff is continually involved in conservation promotion with their landowners and loggers. LP also participates in the Okanagan IFPA (Innovative Forestry Society) with numerous other stakeholders.

Objective 19: Communications and Public Reporting

The 2013 LP Audit Report is posted on the SFI Website. All records necessary for reporting to SFI are maintained electronically and were reviewed as part of this audit. The 2013 SFI Annual Progress Report was submitted to SFI, Inc.

Objective 20, Management Review and Continuous Improvement:

The internal audit and management review system is mature, fully functioning and effective. LP has a well-documented procedure for collecting information, conducting an internal audit and reporting results of all monitoring to management. An internal audit is conducted annually at each facility. A checklist is completed listing evidence for each indicator in the standard. Conformance and non-conformances are issued. A corrective action procedure is followed to remedy any non-conformances. A management review meeting is conducted annually where monitoring results are presented and discussed.

LP has one substitution /modification to four Indicators regarding Forests of Exceptional Conservation Value (FECV's): Obj.4.1, I-3, Obj. 4.2, I-1 and Obj.8.1, I-1d & I-2. The Canadian Species at Risk Act (SARA) is more appropriate for LP Canadian operations for specific local conditions and circumstances for protection of G1/G2 species. A review of previous audits was conducted to verify the effectiveness of previous audit findings and to evaluate LPC's past performance. There were no previous non-conformances and one opportunity for improvement found in the renewal audit conducted last year. The opportunity for improvement was addressed very satisfactorily. Two notable practices were noted from last year. There were no trends in the SFI implementation of the field audit or document review that would indicate that any particular area needs special attention. A SF61 has been completed and contains specific information and audit notes.

Findings

Previous non-conformances:

There were no previous non-conformances.

Non-conformances:

No non-conformances were uncovered during the surveillance audit.

Opportunities for Improvement:

OPI's are weaknesses in the program that may lead to a non-conformance in the future if activities are not monitored for effectiveness. There were no opportunities for improvement issued.

Notable Practices:

NP's are practices and actions that are exemplary and indicate a strong commitment to the SFI intent and to continual improvement of their program. One notable practice was identified.

1. PM 2.1, Ind. 1: LP Golden Silviculture staff conducts individual training for reforestation personnel using an innovative photo guide that depicts proper planting techniques. This one-on-one training has resulted in improved seedling growth, survival rates and reduced need for additional silvicultural treatments.

Logo/label use:

Louisiana Pacific Corporation uses the SFI logo on promotional brochures and does not use the on-product label. All logo use approvals were verified and all use meets logo/label use requirements. Louisiana Pacific Corporation does not use the Bureau Veritas logo.

SFI reporting:

During the audit, a review of the SFI website verified that the previous renewal audit summary report was submitted and posted.

Conclusions

The Closing Meeting of the surveillance audit was held at the Nashville, TN office on September 23, 2014. Doug Rodman, Sustainable Forestry Program Manager and David Hudnall, Corporate Forest Resources Environmental Manager was present. The audit findings were presented and discussed at the closing meeting. Confidentiality of the audit results was also assured. Bureau Veritas Certification recommends continued certification of Louisiana Pacific Corporation to the SFI 2010-2014 Standard.

Surveillance Audit Schedule

Fiber Sourcing Audits:

Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2014

Site: Clarke County, AL

Time	Activity	BVC Repr.	Company Repr.
07:00 AM	Opening Meeting / Review Agenda	Greg Bassler	Doug Rodman
07:15 AM	Document Review: Objectives 8, 9, 10, 14-20	Bassler	Rodman
09:00 AM	Begin field audit of sites	Bassler	Rodman
04:00 PM	Complete field audit, review findings	Bassler	
04:30 PM	Closing Meeting	Bassler	Rodman
05:00 PM	Depart site		

Date: Wednesday, July 16, 2014

Site: Hanceville, AL

Time	Activity	BVC Repr.	Company Repr.
07:00 AM	Opening Meeting / Review Agenda	Greg Bassler	Doug Rodman
07:15 AM	Document Review: Objectives 8, 9, 10, 14-20	Bassler	Rodman
09:00 AM	Begin field audit of sites	Bassler	Rodman
04:00 PM	Complete field audit, review findings	Bassler	
04:30 PM	Closing Meeting	Bassler	Rodman
05:00 PM	Depart site		

Date: Tuesday, September 9, 2014

Golden, BC site

Time	Activity	BVC Repr.	Company Repr.
07:00 AM	Opening Meeting / Review Agenda	Greg Bassler	Stuart Frazer
07:15 AM	Document Review: Objectives 8, 9, 10, 14-20	Bassler	Frazer
09:00 AM	Begin field audit of sites	Bassler	Frazer, Russell, Arnett
04:00 PM	Complete field audit, review findings	Bassler	
04:30 PM	Closing Meeting	Bassler	Frazer
05:00 PM	Depart site		

Land Management Audits:

Date: Tuesday, September 9, 2014

Golden, BC

Time	Activity	BVC Repr.	Company Repr.
8:00 AM	Opening Meeting at Headquarters in Swan River	Gregory Bassler	Golden and Malakwa Staff
8:30 AM	Begin document review – Objectives 1, 3, 5, 7, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19 & 20.	Bassler/Ellingson	Golden and Malakwa Staff
12:00	Lunch		
1:00 PM	Begin field audit of selected land management sites	Ellingson	Arnett/King/Heuvelman
04:45 PM	Daily debrief	Bassler	All
05:00 PM	Depart site		

Date: Wednesday, September 10, 2014 Golden and Malakwa, BC

<u>Time</u>		<u>Activity</u>	<u>BVC Repr.</u>	<u>Company Repr.</u>
06:30	AM	Continue field verification audit of Golden office	Bassler	Arnett / Russell
12:00		Lunch		
05:00	PM	Complete field audit of both Golden and Malakwa activities.	Bassler/ Ellingson	All
05:15	PM	Daily debrief		All

Date: Thursday, September 11, 2014 Golden, BC office

<u>Time</u>		<u>Activity</u>	<u>BVC Repr.</u>	<u>Company Repr.</u>
08:00	AM	Closing Meeting	Bassler, Ellingson	Heuvelman, Lunoch, Arnett and staff
09:00	AM	Depart site for Calgary airport		

Headquarters Audit:

Date: Tuesday, September 23, 2014 Nashville, TN

<u>Time</u>		<u>Activity</u>	<u>BVC Rep.</u>	<u>Company Repr.</u>
07:45	AM	Arrive on Site	Gregory Bassler	David Hudnall/Doug Rodman
08:00	AM	Opening Meeting	Bassler	Hudnall/Rodman
08:15	AM	Begin document review of Objectives 1 -10.	Bassler	Hudnall/Rodman
12:00	PM	Lunch		
01:00	PM	Continue document review, Objectives 14 -20.	Bassler	Hudnall/Rodman
03:00	PM	Review Multi-site requirements	Bassler	Hudnall/Rodman
04:30	PM	Closing Meeting	Bassler	Hudnall/Rodman
05:00	PM	Depart from Site	Bassler	

SEE SF61/SF71 FOR AUDIT NOTES

--

Summary of Audit Findings:						
Audit Date(s):	From: July 15, 2014			To: September 23, 2014		
Number of SF02's Raised:			Major:	0	Minor:	0
Is a follow up visit required:	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Date(s) of follow up visit:	
Follow-up visit remarks:						
Team Leader Recommendation:						
Corrective Action Plan (s) Accepted	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Date:	NA
Proceed to/Continue Certification	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Date:	September 23, 2014
All NCR's Cleared	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Date:	NA
Standard audit conducted against:						
1)	SFI 2010:2014	3)				
2)		4)				
Team Leader (1):	Team Members (2,3,4...)					
Gregory Bassler, RABQSA EMS-LA	2) Gary Ellingson					
	3)					
	4)					
	5)					
Scope of Supply: (scope statement must be verified and appear in the space below)						
"Forest management activities on all company held and managed crown licenses in Canada, and the procurement of logs, pulpwood and other wood fiber in the United States and Canada".						
Accreditation's	ANAB					
Number of Certificates	0					
Proposed Date for Next Audit Event						
Date	July 2015					
Audit Report Distribution						
Client: Louisiana Pacific Corporation, David Hudnall, Corporate Forest Resources Environmental Manager, david.hudnall@lpcorp.com						
BVC – Customer Service Representative – Melissa Marchese, melissa.marchese@us.bureauveritas.com						

Clause	Audit Report
Opening Meeting	<p>Participants: Tommy Thompson, Southern Region Resource Manager; Clay Morris, Procurement Manager and Doug Rodman, Sustainable Forestry Program Manager were in attendance.</p> <p>Discussions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Introductions ➤ Scope of the audit ➤ Audit schedule/plan ➤ Nonconformance types – Major / Minor ➤ Review of previous non-conformances - 0. ➤ Process approach to auditing and audit sampling ➤ Confidentiality agreement ➤ Termination of the audit ➤ Appeals process ➤ Closing meeting timing
Closing Meeting	<p>Participants: Doug Rodman, Sustainable Forestry Program Manager and David Hudnall, Corporate Forest Resources Environmental Manager was in attendance.</p> <p>Discussions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Introductions and appreciation for selecting Bureau Veritas Certification. ➤ Review of audit process - process approach and sampling. ➤ Review of OFIs and System Strengths ➤ Non-conformances - 0 ➤ Date for next audit. ➤ Reporting protocol and timing



SF02/NA NONCONFORMITY REPORT

Company Name and Site:		SF02#:	
Contract #:	Department / Process:	Team Leader:	
Date:	Standard and Clause #:	Team Member:	
Major	Minor	Other Documents (if applicable):	Company Representative:
REQUIREMENT OF AUDITED STANDARD:			
OBSERVED NONCONFORMITY:			
ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS AND CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN (To be completed by the Company. Plan to be submitted in 30 days)			
Corrective Action Plan Date:		Company Representative:	
Root Cause Analysis and Corrective Action			
Root Cause:			
Corrective Action Plan:			
ROOT CAUSE AND CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN ACCEPTANCE REPORT (To be completed by Bureau Veritas Certification – Verify effective identification of Root Cause and acceptance of Corrective Action Plan)			
Root Cause:			
Corrective Action Plan:			
Plan Accepted:	Yes	No	Comments:
Auditor:			Date:
CORRECTIVE ACTION IMPLEMENTATION (To be completed by Company – Provide objective evidence. Must be completed within 90 days from the last day of the audit)			
Corrective Action Completion Date:		Company Representative:	
Corrective Action Implementation:			
Method used to verify effectiveness of action taken:			
CORRECTIVE ACTION IMPLEMENTATION ACCEPTANCE REPORT (To be completed by Bureau Veritas Certification – Acceptance of Corrective Action taken)			
Accepted:	Yes	No	Nonconformance Downgraded:
			Yes
			No
Follow Up Comments:			
Auditor:			Date: